SINGLE BENCH

BEFORE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

W.P. NO. 5272 /2005

PETITIONER : **GIRISH GAIDHANI**
Aged about 49 years
S/o. Late Shri T.G. Gaidhani
Manager (Khadi)
Chhattisgarh Khadi and Gramodyog
Raipur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 5555/05
Presented by Shri V.A. Tamaskar
dated 24.10.05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :1. **CHHATTISGARH KHADI AND GRAMODYOG BOARD, RAIPUR**
Through : Chairman
Jeevan Bima Marg, City Station Road
Pandri, Raipur (C.G.)

2. **MANAGING DIRECTOR**
Chhattisgarh Khadi and Gramodyog
Board, Raipur (C.G.)

3. **SHRI SWATANTRA KUMAR SHARMA**
Deputy Director,
Chhattisgarh Khadi And Gramodyog
Board, Pandri, Raipur (C.G.)

4. **SHRI KRIPA RAM SAHU**
President : Chhattisgarh Khadi Tatha
Gramodyog Board, Raipur (C.G.)

OATH COMMISSIONER
APARNA
M. VIYA
CC 1073/96
24/10/05
Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

(5)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(76)

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No..52.72./05 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

14-6-2006

Shri V.G. Tamaskar, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri R.S. Patel, counsel for respondents No.1 to 4.

Heard both the counsel.

By this petition, the petitioner has questioned the correctness of the order dated 21-10-2005 whereby the petitioner has been transferred from Raipur to Jagdalpur. The petitioner is working as Manager (Khadi) at Raipur.

The transfer is challenged on the ground that daughter of the petitioner namely Ku. Surabhi is studying in class-10th who cannot be shifted in Mid-Session to another town. The mother of the petitioner has sustained facial paralysis and she is taking treatment at Raipur Hospital.

As far as first point is concerned, that grievance has already been met with because now we are in the June 2006 and the session is over.

As far as facial paralysis is concerned, the treatment can be taken while remaining at Jagdalpur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that he has serious objection about the points raised in the return filed by respondents in which certain facts have been mentioned about RSS.

Learned counsel for respondents submitted that he is withdrawing those averments made in respect of RSS.

Therefore, there is no ground for interference in the impugned order. The petitioner to comply with the order within a period of two weeks.

The writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

Barve